

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 09/943,319 08/30/2001 Rafael Elul 8734 **EXAMINER** 7590 09/07/2004 Rafael Elul STASHICK, ANTHONY D P.O. Box 603 PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT Windermere, FL 34786

3728

DATE MAILED: 09/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/943,319	ELUL, RAFAEL
	Examiner	. Art Unit
	Anthony Stashick	3728
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09.	June 2004.	
	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) 11-14 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrates 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 11-14 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	-···	• •
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗀 Interview Own	( (DTO 412)
Notice of References Cited (P10-892)	4)	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/943,319 Page 2

Art Unit: 3728

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification does not reasonably provide enablement for how to make and use the claimed invention. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. The specification states theories and ideas but fails to concretely state the structure or materials used in making the invention. The specification further fails to disclose how to make or use the invention. There is no information in the specification that enables one of ordinary skill in the art to make or use the claimed invention.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims state general theories and fail to claim any specific structure or product. It appears applicant is attempting to patent an idea that is based upon known principles without showing or producing a product.

Application/Control Number: 09/943,319 Page 3

Art Unit: 3728

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 11, 12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Metro 4. 4,429,474. Metro '474 discloses all the limitations of the claims including the following: a shoe that is constructed so that a range of spring constants is available within each shoe size (see col. 4, lines 51-65 and col. 6, lines 23-48); individual shoes out of this range can be fitted to different athletes to rebound following the downward motion of the athlete's foot so as to coincide with the upward motion of the user's foot (typical use of a shoe, especially that with springs located within them); the shoe can provide the same period of oscillation when used by different athletes (period of oscillations depends upon the user's weight and pace, therefore, different athletes weighing the same and running/walking at the same pace and stride would meet this limitation, i.e. the limitation is not based upon the shoe but upon the user of the shoe.); the harmonic motion of the shoes coincides with the frequency of the user's foot movements (the shoe rebounds with the lifting of the user's foot); the shoes aid in lifting the feet to aid the user in lifting the foot off the ground maximizing he recovery energy of the stride of the athlete's foot (see col. 6, lies 23-48); shoes fitted for set criteria and providing maximum energy return (shoes of Metro '474 are adjustable for each individual user's preference and provides the maximum desired energy return in combination with cushioning effect.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Metro 4,429,474 as applied to claim 12 above. Metro '474 discloses all the limitations substantially as claimed. Metro has springs made of elastic material where the spring constant can be altered to prolong the cushioning effect of the heel area. This constant can be adjusted by the individual user to what that user desires.

## Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed June 9, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant has failed to provide any arguments against the references used in the rejection stated in the Office action. Applicant has further failed to explain how the new claims overcome the art used in the rejection, as required. It appears applicant is attempting to patent an idea rather than the product of that idea.

With respect to applicant's request for constructive criticism, since there appears to be no allowable subject matter, there is no assistance that the examiner can give at this time.

#### Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-

Art Unit: 3728

MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony Stashick whose telephone number is 703-308-3876. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 6:30 am until 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on 703-308-2672. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Anthony Stashick Primary Examiner Art Unit 3728

Stuling